```
1
     SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990)
     United States Attorney
2
     BRIAN STRETCH (CABN 163973)
3
     Chief, Criminal Division
4
     DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052)
     Assistant United States Attorney
5
        450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6
        San Francisco, California 94102
        Telephone: (415) 436-7359
        Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
7
        denise.barton@usdoj.gov
8
     Attorneys for Plaintiff
9
                              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
11
                             NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
                                 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
14
                                               CR No. 07-0454 PJH
15
           Plaintiff,
                                               STIPULATION AND [PROPOSEDLORDER
                                               EXCLUDING TIME
16
        ٧.
17
     SHANNON BLAYLOCK,
        aka ARLANDYS RICHARDSON,
18
        aka "DADDY RICH",
     TAWAKONI SEATON,
19
        aka TONI, and
     LATOSHA GARDNER,
20
           Defendant.
21
           On August 16, 2007, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that
22
     time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from August 16, 2007 through
23
24
     August 29, 2007. The parties represented that granting the continuance was necessary
25
26
27
28
     Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Excluding Time, 07-0454 PJH
                                                                                        1
```

for effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 1 2 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). 3 4 SO STIPULATED: 5 6 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney 7 8 DATED: September 27, 2007 DENISE MARIE BARTON 9 Assistant United States Attorney 10 DATED: September 27, 2007 11 JODI LINKER 12 Attorney for LATOSHA GARDNER 13 14 As the Court found on August 16, 2007, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that 15 16 the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the 17 defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act 18 calculations from August 16, 2007 through August 29, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny 19 20 counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of 21 due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). 22 SO ORDERED. 23 24 25 26 HON. EDWARD M. CHEN 27 United States Magistrate Court Judge 28